Collaborative Decision Making

Pathfinder Team Report Shawn McClosky Tim Matuszewski



Pathfinder Team

Shawn McClosky Tim Matuszewski Mel Davis Kevin Maney Adam Davis Jim Enders Dave Surridge Jan Planten Brian Gonzalez Ralph Tamburro Ron Renk **Charles Bland**

FAA Co-lead NKS Ind Co-lead NATCA NATCA UPS AAL AAL NBAA A4A PANYNJ UAL UAL

Rob Goldman	DAL
Bill Tuck	DAL
Brad Ward	ASA
Chris Vital	JBU
Louisa Ocasio	FAA





General Overview

Pathfinder is an established process, but it is slow and clunky

- The process of re-opening routes is very subjective.
- Current communication methods are slow and/or ineffective
 No established procedures for airlines to volunteer a flight
- The Pathfinder label has a negative connotation, but it is not the primary cause of the issues.





Continue to refer to the program as Pathfinder but do not use the term when issuing route clearances

Why:

Program is known by the name Pathfinder. Changing the name would create confusion.

The negative connotation is mainly within the pilot community. Avoid using the term when giving clearances.





Evaluate the need for tools to assist the decision to re-open a route

Why:

Eyeball estimate using radar is subjective

Flight deviations drive the decision on when to close a route

Past N90 study indicated routes opened earlier when tools (RAPT) were used (MIT-LL Study in 2008)





Evaluate and organize communication FAA and Industry procedures for requesting and volunteering Pathfinders

Why:

Multiple undocumented/unregulated methods currently in use A streamlined process improves overall speed/efficiency Efficiency team studying use of PF comms Tool on NOD





Publish a survey tailored to the FAA and a separate survey tailored to Flight Operators

Why:

Identify best practices, differences, and gaps





Federal Aviation Administration